

App. No. 09/864,599

REMARKS - General

By the above amendment, Applicants have amended the title to emphasize the novelty of the invention.

The Examiner has claimed a Section 102 rejection of the Applicant's claims based on Ram, US 6,625,258. The Applicant would argue that Ram and the Applicant invention are two completely different inventions in two completely different fields. The Ram patent focuses on a virtual assistance system (VAS) dealing with a phone network and call services. The Applicant's invention deals with information templates and the Internet as well as preventing Spamming and allowing the retraction and revision of sent communication. The Applicant has modified the claims to make this distinction clearer. The Applicant argues that once this distinction is made, the current application is clearly novel and unique and not obvious to someone skilled in the arts, especially in light of Ram.

Also applicants have rewritten all claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over prior art. Therefore the applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully solicited.

Power of Attorney Attached

A Change of Power of Attorney is attached to this Response.


Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq.
Registration No. 38,146

I hereby certify I have transmitted this paper by fax to the Patent and Trademark Office at 703-872-9306 on February 10, 2005.

February 10, 2005.


Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq, Reg. No. 38,146.